

New Access & Benefit Sharing (ABS) Regulations: Implications for the Biocontrol Industry

Johannette Klapwijk Koppert B.V. IBMA

ABIM 21 October 2014

12 October 2014

Nagoya protocol on ABS came into force EU regulation 511 on ABS came into force



COP12 / MOP7 / MOP1 PYEONGCHANG KOREA 2014

ties to the Convention on 3.3.0 e Cartagena Protocol on Biosafety (COPoya Protocol on Access and Benefit Sharing

Soutember to 17 October 2014 | Pyeongchang, Republic of Korea

CBD COP 12, Cartagena Protocol COP-MOP 7 & Nagoya Protocol COP-MOP 1 29 September - 17 October 2014, Pyeongchang, Republic of Korea Read More •

Convention on Biodiversity (1993)

Objectives

- 1. The conservation of biological diversity
- 2. The sustainable use of the components of biological diversity
- 3. The fair and equitable sharing of the benefits arising out of the utilization of genetic resources

194 parties (USA has <u>not</u> signed)



Fair and equitable Sharing of Benefits...

Background:

 It is unfair that biodiversity is explored and big money is made out of it, without giving countries of origin anything in return

Idea:

 Benefit sharing: Money flows back to (developing) countries to be used for conservation and sustainable use



High expectations by developing countries: Genetic Resources will become the **GREEN GOLD** of the South



genetic resources...



- Genetic material with actual or potential value
 - Any material of plant, animal, microbial origin (except human origin)
- All biocontrol products, incl. semiochemicals
 - Also if genetic resources are used for development of product



Access & Benefit Sharing: What is arranged in the CBD?



- Countries have the sovereign rights over their natural resources
- Access to Genetic Resources is subject of national regulation
- Minimum requirements for use of Genetic Resources:
 - Permission to collect and export
 - Contract with agreements on use
 - Certificate of Origin
- Bilateral agreements / International regime on ABS



Access...





biopiracy....





What is the 'Nagoya protocol'



- International Regime on Access and Benefit Sharing
- Legal framework for effective implementation of Access and Benefit Sharing regulations on <u>national</u> level
- Adopted October 2010, Nagoya, Japan
- Came into force 12 October 2014, Korea (50 ratifications)





COP12 / MOP7

PYEONGCHANG KOREA 2014

Biocontrol development under the Nagoya Protocol

- 1. Facilitated access for research
- 2. Plant health and Food Security
- 3. Benefit Sharing: Monetary or non-monetary



1. Facilitated access for research

art. 8a of Nagoya protocol

Create conditions to promote and encourage research which contributes to the conservation and sustainable use of biological diversity, particularly in developing countries, including through simplified measures on access for non-commercial research purposes, taking into account the need to address a change of intent for such research;

- Non-commercial research contributing to biodiversity should be facilitated
- Agreement includes only use for research
- New agreement required for commercialization: new negotiations
- What is non-commercial research ?



2. Food Security: role of FAO

art. 8c of Nagoya protocol:

Consider the importance of genetic resources for food and agriculture and their special role for food security.

- Biocontrol is crucial in food security
- 'consider'...
- FAO Commission on Genetic Resoures for Food and Agriculture
- Multilateral agreement/ Treaty (example: Seed Treaty)
- Option for IBMA: long term!



3. Benefit Sharing: monetary

Art. 5.4 of Nagoya Protocol

Benefits may include monetary and non monetary benefits, including but not limited to those listed in the Annex.

Monetary Benefit Sharing:

- access fees, milestone payments, royalties, joint ventures, fees to trust funds, research funds
- Relatively little money to share in Biocontrol
- Administrative costs high



3. Benefit Sharing: non monetary

Art. 5.4 of Nagoya Protocol

Benefits may include monetary and non monetary benefits, including but not limited to those listed in the Annex.

Non Monetary Benefit Sharing:

- Sharing of research results, collaboration in research, training
- Exchange in research takes place anyhow
- In Biocontrol there is a benefit for all
- Biocontrol products are public goods (esp. classical biocontrol)
- > Will this be accepted on national level?



EU regulation 511/2014 :

- On compliance measures for users from the Nagoya Protocol on ABS in the European Union
- Came into force 12 October 2014

Main issues:

- Due Diligence
- Best Practices
- Compliance checks

ENVIRONMENT





EU regulation 511/2014: Due diligence

(Art.4) Users shall exercise **due diligence** to ascertain that Genetic resources and traditional knowledge were accessed in accordance with applicable ABS legislation and that benefits are fairly and equitably shared

- Users have to prove that they acquired Genetic Resources legally
- Users need to collect and keep the following info:
 - Where and when accessed
 - Description of genetic resource (incl. ID)
 - Presence/ absence of rights
 - Access decisions and agreements



EU regulation 511/2014: Best practices

(Art. 8) Associations of users or other interested parties may submit an application to have a combination of procedures, tools or mechanisms recognised as best practice

- User associations can develop a Best Practice
- Should be supported by evidence and information
- All users are committed to comply
- Users of a Best Practice are considered to have a lower risk of non-compliance – could result in less checks
- Important option for IBMA



The EU and the Nagoya protocol: Compliance

Compliance will be monitored (art. 7):

- When applying for public research funding
- When requesting market approval / commercialisation

Compliance will be checked (art. 9):

- By competent authorities of member states
- Following a risk-based approach
- On request of third countries when they provide substantiated concerns about non-compliance
- Start October 2015



EU regulation 511/2014: national regulation

- Ownership of Genetic Resources is up to member states
- If countries claim their Genetic Resources, then national regulation applies also for users from other member states
- Right now: Denmark, Hungary?
- Netherlands: no rights claimed



What are the consequences?

• Access to Genetic resources becomes limited

- Especially when directly collected from nature (Invertebrates)
- Negotiation time long (uncertainties, unknown sector)
- Increased costs:
 - Access
 - Administration
 - Benefit sharing



Product development is slowed down



Your obligations under ABS

For Genetic resources already in your possession:

- Take record of all Genetic Resources and their source
- Check existing ABS legislation in source countries
- If necessary make agreements before commercialisation

For collection of Genetic Resources from now onwards:

 All new accessions have to be justified under bilateral agreement, Nagoya Protocol or treaty



The IBMA and ABS

- Involved since 2008
- Focus on invertebrates
- **CBD**: working groups, side events (together with **ICC**)
- CGRFA/ FAO: preparation of advice for FAO, together with
- **IOBC** global commission on biocontrol and ABS: Background Study paper 47 FAO
- **EU**: input on draft regulation
- Working group ABS: all professional groups
 - Best practice document (with help from lawyers)
 - Multilateral agreement/ Treaty FAO
 - Share experiences









www.iccwbo.org

24-25 November 2014 | Paris, France ICC Conference and Workshops

WORKING OUT ABS

Preparing to comply with the new EU Rules on Access and Benefit-sharing



