BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF HYALOPTERUS PRUNI GEOF. /HEMIPTERA: APHIDIDAE/

Radoslav ANDREEV, Pavlin VASILEV, Nedyalka PALAGACHEVA **Agricultural Unversity, Plovdiv, BULGARIA**

H. pruni isa

Hristina KUTINKOVA, Desislava STEFANOVA, Vasiliy DZHUVINOV Fruit Growing Institute, Plovdiv, BULGARIA



INTRODUCTION

holocyclic facultative migratory species with main hosts Prunus domestica,

P.instititia, **P.cerasifera** and **P.spinosa**. Secondary hosts are Phragmites communis Calamagrostis, Elymus and Arundo donax. The species is most dangerous aphid on plum in Bulgaria, because it damages the shoots during almost the entire growing season and its density is the highest, compared to the other aphids in these orchards - Brachycaudus helichrysi, Phorodon humuli and other. The aim of the study was to establish the efficacy of nonchemical insecticides, from different groups, for effectively control of this pest in organic farming systems.



H. pruni colony



Strongly infested shoot

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted in the laboratory. Three botanical insecticides with active ingredients pyrethrum (Pyretrum FS EC), nicotine (NikoTab) and azadirachtin (Neem Azal T/S) were used, as well as two microbial products based on Beauveria bassiana (Naturalis^R) and *Paecilomyces* fumosoroseus (Preferal WG). All insecticides are registered in Bulgaria for other pests, except for NicoTab (nicotine), which is to be registered. Natural colonies of nymphs and wingless adults were treated with botanical and

microbial insecticides. Five medium-sized colonies were used for each treatment, in-

cluding control, treated with water. The shoots with

aphids were cut and placed in water containers in a laboratory. The number of surviving individuals was recorded – one, three, five and seven days after treatment.



Population dynamic of the most common aphids on plum in Bulgaria





RESULTS The microbial insecticides had a delayed initial effect. High mortality of aphids was observed after 3 days.

Naturalis^R (Beauveria bassiana) showed the best results from the tested insecticides. At a concentration of 0.3% and 0.2% on the third day the efficacy exceeds 95%, and on the seventh day it reached 100%. The insecticides was very effective at its lowest concentration (0.1%) - efficacy over 90% on the third and 98.6% on the seventh day. The action of Preferal WG (Paecilomyces fumosoroseus) was also good, but even at higher concentrations (0,3%) the efficacy did not reach 100%.



Among the insecticides based on plant extracts the best performer was Pyrethrum. At a concentration of 0.1% even at the 3rd day efficiency reached 99% and 100% on the fifth day. At 0.05% efficiency was also high, and on the 7th day reached 96.6%. The effect of this insecticide at the concentration 0.025% was significantly lower. Similar results were also found with nicotine-based insecticide NikoTab. At a dilution of 1:1 and 1:2 with water efficacy of 3th day was respectively 98.1% and 97.3%, and on the 7th day - 100%. Less was the action of the insecticide at a ratio of 1:3 with water. Unsatisfactory were the results for the azadirachtin (Neem Azal T/S). At the highest concentration (0.5%) the efficacy of the insecticide did not exceed 80%. At 0.3% and 0.1% the efficacy was even worse.



CONCLUSIONS. Microbial insecticides based on Beauveria bassiana (Naturalis^R) and *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Preferal WG) as well as botanical insecticides with active ingredients pyrethrum (Pyretrum FS EC) and nicotine (NikoTab) in a suitable concentration have high efficacy against H. pruni and are suitable for its control in organic orchards. The insecticides based on azadirachtin are not suitable for controlling this aphids

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The present study was supported by the Grant DN 16/4 (2017) from the Bulgarian National Scientific Fund.